



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/578,290		05/25/2000	James E Carey	1958.2001-000	5934
21005	7590	03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C.				VO, LILIAN	
530 VIRGINIA ROAD P.O. BOX 9133)		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CONCORI	CONCORD, MA 01742-9133			2127	7
				DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	· /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			PRG				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/578,290	CAREY, JAMES E					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lilian Vo	2127					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 12 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of		a final raigation, which are	orio lator. In no				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d statutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or	(2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or t would be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed: No/VE- Claim(s) objected to: No/VE-							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 36</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement							
10. Other:	Muphy						
	MENG-AL T. AN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIL TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210	NERIIIan Vo NERIIIan Vo Examiner					

S

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- 1) The rejection was deemed proper.
- 2) Issues raised by applicant have already been addressed in the final office action.
- 3) Furthermore, Zolnowsky's teaches of a multiprocessor systems share the computational load or by allowing many smaller tasks to be performed in parallel in separate processors (col. 1, lines 11 20). In other words, each processor's queue not only queuing threads but also tasks and processes to be executed (col. 5, lines 7 26). Fig. 5 shows a dispatch queue for each processor that has its own scheduling lock so that lock contention is reduced (see also col. 6, lines 27 42). In order for each dispatch queue to execute processes/tasks and/or threads, each must have an associated worker thread. Therefore, Zolnowsky clearly teach of the task queue in a multithreaded system or associating a task queue with a respective worker thread as claimed.